C4	0.2744 (3)	-0.2949 (2)	0.5939 (2)	2.86 (9)
C5	0.2670 (3)	-0.1556(2)	0.5606 (2)	2.63 (8)
C6	0.2526 (3)	-0.0529 (2)	0.6249 (2)	2.74 (9)
C7	0.2465 (3)	-0.2691(2)	0.8636 (2)	2.31 (8)
01′	0.7667 (3)	-0.4619 (2)	0.8154(1)	3.67 (7)
O2′	0.7247 (3)	-0.0980(2)	1.0268 (1)	3.58 (7)
N1′	0.7709 (3)	-0.1834 (2)	0.5697 (1)	2.71 (7)
N2′	0.7839(3)	-0.2675 (2)	0.4956 (1)	3.25 (8)
N3'	0.7834 (3)	-0.3973 (2)	0.5274 (1)	3.28 (8)
N4′	0.7703 (3)	-0.3993 (2)	0.6249 (1)	2.80(7)
Cl'	0.7528 (3)	-0.3247 (2)	0.8293 (1)	2.38 (8)
C2′	0.7501 (3)	-0.2280(2)	0.7492 (1)	2.16 (8)
C3′	0.7378 (3)	-0.0852(2)	0.7657 (2)	2.56 (8)
C4′	0.7282 (3)	-0.0422(2)	0.8578 (2)	2.81 (9)
C5′	0.7320(3)	-0.1418 (2)	0.9356 (1)	2.46 (8)
C6′	0.7437 (3)	-0.2813 (2)	0.9218 (1)	2.63 (8)
C7′	0.7626 (3)	-0.2683 (2)	0.6506 (1)	2.30 (8)
OW1	0.0840(3)	0.2550 (2)	0.7173(1)	3.77 (7)
OW2	0.3167 (3)	0.3281 (2)	0.8345 (1)	3.69 (7)
OW3	-0.3016 (3)	0.3067 (2)	0.6946 (1)	4.02 (7)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

N1—N2	1.359 (3)	N1'—N2'	1.360 (3)
N1—C7	1.324 (3)	N1'C7'	1.324 (3)
N2—N3	1.286 (3)	N2'—N3'	1.290 (3)
N3N4	1.337 (3)	N3'—N4'	1.343 (3)
N4—C7	1.347 (3)	N4'—C7'	1.343 (3)
01C1C2	118.6 (2)	01′—C1′—C2′	119.2 (2)
C1—C2—C7	122.1 (2)	C1'_C2'_C7'	122.6 (2)
N1-C7-C2	127.6 (2)	N1'C7'C2'	126.4 (2)
N4C7C2	125.3 (2)	N4'C7'C2'	125.8 (2)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdots A$	D — $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$
OW1—H1₩1···N2′ ⁱ	0.89	2.00	2.870 (3)	166
OW1H2W1···OW3	0.94	1.90	2.786 (3)	156
OW2—H1W2···N1 ⁱⁱ	1.00	1.93	2.910(3)	166
OW2H2W2···OW1	0.99	1.77	2.747 (3)	167
OW3H1W3···O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.94	2.01	2.940 (3)	170
OW3—H2W3···OW2 ^{iv}	0.95	1.93	2.850(3)	163
O1—HO1···O₩1	0.95	1.78	2.717 (3)	171
O2—HO2···N1′ ⁱ	0.99	1.95	2.941 (3)	177
N4—HN4· · · O2'*	0.99	1.92	2.842 (3)	154
N4—HN4· · ·O1	0.99	2.10	2.631 (3)	112
01'—H01'…N4'	1.12	1.66	2.675 (3)	147
$O2' - HO2' \cdot \cdot \cdot OW2^{v}$	0.96	1.82	2.784 (3)	173
N4'—HN4'···OW3 ^{vi}	0.86	2.08	2.943 (3)	170
Cummeters and and (i) 1		(::)	1 (:::)	

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (ii) x, 1 + y, z; (iii) -x, -y, 1 - z; (iv) x - 1, y, z; (v) 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; (vi) 1 + x, y - 1, z.

H atoms were located from a difference electron density map and were not refined. Most of the calculations were performed on a DEC 3000 AXP computer.

Data collection: CAD-4-Express (Enraf-Nonius, 1993). Cell refinement: MolEN (Fair, 1990). Data reduction: MolEN. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELX86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII. Software used to prepare material for publication: MolEN (Fair, 1990).

This work was supported by grants from PADCT, CNPq and FINEP.

References

- Enraf-Nonius (1993). CAD-4 Express Software. Version 1.1. Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gallardo, H. & Begnini, I. M. (1995). Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. In the press.
- Gallardo, H. & Favarin, I. E. (1993). Liq. Cryst. 13, 115-125.
- Gallardo, H. & Merlo, A. (1993). Synth. Commun. 23, 2159-2169.
- Gallardo, H., Müller, H. J., Taylor, T. R., Bartulín, J. & Martinez, R. (1993). Mol. Cryst. Lig. Cryst. 225, 175-182.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELX86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2432–2434

n-Dodecylammonium Chloride

JACK SILVER AND PAUL J. MARSH

Department of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester CO4 3SQ, England

CHRISTOPHER S. FRAMPTON

Roche Research Centre, PO Box 8, Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire AL7 3AY, England

(Received 18 December 1994; accepted 22 May 1995)

Abstract

The redetermination of the structure of $C_{12}H_{28}N^+.Cl^-$ corroborates and complements the limited data of a prior less accurate study. The structure consists of $C_{12}H_{28}N^+$ chains arranged head-to-tail in layers between layers of Cl^- ions.

Comment

The structure of dodecylammonium bromide has been reported previously along with a preliminary (projection) determination of dodecylammonium chloride (Gordon, Stenhagen & Vand, 1953). A more recent study of decylammonium chloride (DACl) and dodecylammonium chloride (DDACl) (Pinto, Vencato, Gallardo & Mascarenhas, 1987) resulted in the latter complex being solved from only 337 observed reflections using isotropic displacement factors. It was suggested (Pinto *et al.*, 1987) that the finding that the reflection intensities were weak for both compounds was perhaps due to the closeness of a phase transition (Gault, Gallardo & Muller, 1985), which may provoke crystalline disor-

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NS1005). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

der. Unfortunately, the temperature of the data collection was not reported (Pinto *et al.*, 1987). As part of a programme of work on layer structures, we wished to have a more accurate solution of DDACl, (I), and we report here the results of that study.



The present structure was solved using 1299 observed reflections and 239 variables (reflection to parameter ratio 5.44:1, which is just acceptable). The refinement also included H atoms. The structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1 and a packing diagram is presented in Fig. 2. The structure is essentially the same in layout as previously reported (Pinto *et al.*, 1987). The bond lengths, however, are more accurate. Refinement of the H atoms led to C—H distances in the range 0.86–1.10 Å and N—H distances of 0.83–1.05 Å. The H-atom *B* values ranged from 2.9 to 9.0 Å² with a mean of 5.0 Å².

ciu 🍙



Fig. 1. View of the title molecule showing the atom-labelling scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability levels and H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.



Fig. 2. Projection of the title structure down **b** showing the packing of the chains within the layers.

Crystalline cohesion is achieved by ionic bonds between the —NH₃⁺ cations and the Cl⁻ anions, and van der Waals interactions between the carbon chains. There are three close Cl(1)···N(1) interactions of 3.142 (3), 3.159 (4) and 3.167 (3) Å, so that each Cl⁻ anion is surrounded by three NH₃⁺ groups and each NH₃⁺ by three Cl⁻ ions. The C—C bond lengths in the C₁₂N chain are in the range 1.491 (6)–1.526 (5) Å. The C—C bond lengths in the isostructural DACl structure (Pinto *et al.* 1987) are in the range 1.506 (8)–1.534 (9) Å. The average distance found between alternate C atoms is 2.54 Å, which is the same as that found for isostructural DACl and similar to that found for lauric acid (Vand, Morley & Lomer, 1951). The chain length as measured from N(1) to C(12) is 15.155(5) Å.

The carbon chains form a layer so that the tail of one stops just short of the next Cl⁻ layer and the NH₃⁺ heads of the three neighbouring chains overlap this tail balancing the charge in the Cl⁻ layer. Thus, the packing of the carbon chains can be considered as two interdigitated layers (Fig. 2). At 318 K, DDACl is found to undergo a phase transition to an interlayer spacing of 30 Å (Gault *et al.*, 1985). This spacing is about double the chain length and could be explained by the two interdigitated layers in the low-temperature phase moving apart in the high-temperature phase allowing the chains the freedom to rotate.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared from n-dodecylamine and HCl. Crystals were grown from an ethanol solution.

Crystal data

-	
$C_{12}H_{28}N^{+}.Cl^{-}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 221.81$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
<i>P</i> 2 ₁	reflections
a = 5.682(2) Å	$\theta = 15.3 - 17.5^{\circ}$
b = 7.186(6) Å	$\mu = 0.235 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 17.775(2) Å	T = 294.2 K
$\beta = 92.75 (2)^{\circ}$	Plate
V = 725.0 (6) Å ³	$0.60 \times 0.35 \times 0.09$ mm
Z = 2	Colourless
$D_{\rm r} = 1.016 {\rm Mg}{\rm m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractom-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
eter	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.99^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 7$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 9$
analytical	$l = -22 \rightarrow 22$
$T_{\min} = 0.924, T_{\max} =$	3 standard reflections
0.978	monitored every 150
888 measured reflections	reflections
718 independent reflections	intensity decay: 0.86%
299 observed reflections	
$[l > 2.0\sigma(l)]$	

Refinement

Refinement on F
R = 0.0350
wR = 0.0307
S = 1.928
1299 reflections
239 parameters
All H-atom parameters
refined
Weighting scheme based
on measured e.s.d.'s
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.0001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.12 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: Zachariasen (1967) type 2 Gaussian isotropic Extinction coefficient: 65.30354 Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables* for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent
isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

	U_{eq} =	$= (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij}$	$a_i^*a_j^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$	
	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Cl(1)	1.2593(1)	1/4	-0.53474 (4)	0.0693 (2)
N(1)	0.7727 (5)	0.1887 (5)	-0.4616 (2)	0.063 (1)
C(1)	0.8101 (5)	0.262 (1)	-0.3835 (2)	0.0609 (9)
C(2)	0.6135 (6)	0.2025 (6)	-0.3349 (2)	0.055(1)
C(3)	0.6485 (5)	0.268 (1)	-0.2544 (2)	0.060(1)
C(4)	0.4546 (6)	0.2097 (8)	-0.2042(2)	0.059(1)
C(5)	0.4815 (6)	0.276 (1)	-0.1237 (2)	0.059(1)
C(6)	0.2874 (6)	0.2106 (7)	-0.0750 (2)	0.054 (1)
C(7)	0.3089 (6)	0.277 (1)	0.0066 (2)	0.058 (1)
C(8)	0.1148 (6)	0.2108 (7)	0.0546 (2)	0.057(1)
C(9)	0.1345 (5)	0.2761 (9)	0.1359 (2)	0.057(1)
C(10)	-0.0594 (6)	0.2076 (7)	0.1840 (2)	0.056(1)
C(11)	-0.0446 (6)	0.274 (1)	0.2646 (2)	0.068 (1)
C(12)	-0.2387 (8)	0.210(1)	0.3119 (2)	0.082 (2)

References

Gault, J. D., Gallardo, H. A. & Muller, H. J. (1985). Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 130, 163-177.

Gordon, M., Stenhagen, E. & Vand, V. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 739-741.

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software, Version 1.6, MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Pinto, A. V. A., Vencato, I., Gallardo, H. & Mascarenhas, Y. P. (1987). Mol. Cryst. Lig. Cryst. 149, 29-40.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Vand, V., Morley, W. M. & Lomer, T. R. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 324-329.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

N(1)—C(1)	1.492 (5)	C(6)—C(7)	1.526 (5
C(1)—C(2)	1.507 (5)	C(7)—C(8)	1.505 (5
C(2)—C(3)	1.510 (5)	C(8)—C(9)	1.517 (5
C(3)—C(4)	1.509 (5)	C(9)—C(10)	1.510 (5
C(4)—C(5)	1.510 (5)	C(10)—C(11)	1.508 (5
C(5)—C(6)	1.510 (5)	C(11)—C(12)	1.491 (6
N(1)-C(1)-C(2)	110.9 (4)	C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	114.3 (3
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	112.7 (3)	C(7)—C(8)—C(9)	114.8 (3
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	113.9 (4)	C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	114.6 (3
C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	115.4 (3)	C(9)—C(10)—C(11)	115.3 (3
C(4)—C(5)—C(6)	113.8 (4)	C(10)—C(11)—C(12)	115.3 (4
C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	114.8 (3)		
N(1)-C(1)—C(2)—C(3)	178.0 (5)
C(1) - C(2)	-C(3)-C(4)	-179.8 (5)
C(2)—C(3	-C(4)-C(5)	-178.9 (5)
C(3)-C(4)—C(5)—C(6)	-178.6 (5)
C(4)—C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	-179.4 (5)
C(5)—C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	-179.8 (5)
C(6)-C(7	-C(8)-C(9)	179.9 (5)
C(7)-C(8	-C(9)-C(10)	-179.5 (5)
C(8)-C(9	-C(10)-C(11)	-179.0 (5)
$C(9) \rightarrow C(1)$	$0 - c_{(1)} - c_{(1)}$	2) 178.8 (5)

The scan width was $(1.37 + 0.35\tan\theta)^\circ$ with an ω -scan rate of 16.0° min⁻¹. The weak reflections $[I < 25.0\sigma(I)]$ were rescanned (maximum of four rescans) and the counts were accumulated to ensure good counting statistics. Stationary background counts were recorded on each side of the reflection. The ratio of peak counting time to background counting time was 2:1.

C(9)-C(10)-C(11)-C(12)

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1988). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1993). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2434-2437

An Almost Planar Hydrazine Moiety in a **Diels-Alder Adduct**

CAROLYN PRATT BROCK

Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0055. USA

AYHAN S. DEMIR

Department of Chemistry, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06531, Turkey

DAVID S. WATT

Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0055, USA

(Received 9 January 1995; accepted 11 May 1995)

Abstract

The structure of the product of the reaction of 1,3cyclooctadiene and N-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione has been identified as 4-phenyl-2,4,6-triazatricyclo- $[5.4.2.0^{2,6}]$ tridec-12-ene-3,5-dione (C₁₆H₁₇N₃O₂). The distinction between endo and exo addition cannot be made because the hydrazine moiety in the product molecule is almost planar.

Comment

In the course of developing a new reaction for the direct conversion of epoxides to dienes, we investigated the thermal reaction of 1,2-epoxycyclooctane, (1), with

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1118). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.